



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/740,927 12/21/00 Ishizuka 003510-A09

EXAMINER
----------

C. Shosho

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1714 9

DATE MAILED:

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Callie Shosho (3)

(2) Roger Lee (4)

Date of Interview 8/13/03

Type:  Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed: Sacripante et al. (6025412), Tsutsumi et al. (6031619), JP 03231975

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants proposed amending claim 1 to recite specific substituents for R<sup>5</sup>. Support is found on pg 8, line 1. Applicants proposed amending independent claims to recite that the dye and polymer are separate compounds. Examiner agreed that this would overcome Sacripante rejection. Applicants proposed submitting

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

1.132 declaration to overcome Tsutsumi et al. in order to show unexpected results of presently claimed invention. Examiner agreed that if the declaration is proper, such declaration would overcome Tsutsumi. Examiner stated that proposed above amendments and declaration would be entered.